

### REMARKS

Claim 1 is cancelled. Claim 2 is amended. Claim 10 is re-presented. The amendment is supported by the application as originally filed. No new matter is added.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Megason et al. (US 6,618,264 B2). Applicant traverses the rejection to the extent that it can be maintained. Claim 1 is cancelled.

Claims 2-9 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim. However, these claims are allowable if re-written in independent form. Claim 2 is amended to include all of the limitations of independent claim 1 now cancelled. Claims 3-9 depend directly or indirectly from allowable claim 2 and therefore, are likewise allowable.

Claim 10 was subject to a restriction. Claim 10 is now dependent on an allowable generic claim, and therefore, is likewise allowable. Applicant respectfully requests that the restriction be withdrawn and claim 10 be rejoined and allowed.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.  
P.O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903  
(612) 332-5300

Date: 20 May 2005



Timothy R. Conrad  
Reg. No. 30,164  
TRC:PLSkaw